## Evidence for Involvement of Photosynthetic Processes in the Stomatal Response to CO<sub>2</sub><sup>1</sup>

#### Susanna M. Messinger, Thomas N. Buckley<sup>2</sup>, and Keith A. Mott\*

Biology Department, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322–5305 (S.M.M., K.A.M.); and Environmental Biology Group and Cooperative Research Centre for Greenhouse Accounting, Research School of Biological Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 2601, Australia (T.N.B.)

Stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) typically declines in response to increasing intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $c_i$ ). However, the mechanisms underlying this response are not fully understood. Recent work suggests that stomatal responses to  $c_i$  and red light (RL) are linked to photosynthetic electron transport. We investigated the role of photosynthetic electron transport in the stomatal response to  $c_i$  in intact leaves of cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*) plants by examining the responses of  $g_s$  and net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate to  $c_i$  in light and darkness, in the presence and absence of the photosystem II inhibitor 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU), and at 2% and 21% ambient oxygen. Our results indicate that (1)  $g_s$  and assimilation rate decline concurrently and with similar spatial patterns in response to DCMU; (2) the response of  $g_s$  to  $c_i$  changes slope in concert with the transition from Rubisco- to electron transport-limited photosynthesis at various irradiances and oxygen concentrations; (3) the response of  $g_s$  to  $c_i$  is similar in darkness and in DCMU-treated leaves, whereas the response in light in non-DCMU-treated leaves or in darkness; and (5) stomata respond normally to RL when  $c_i$  is held constant, indicating the RL response to  $c_i$  involves the balance between photosynthetic electron transport and carbon reduction either in the mesophyll or in guard cell chloroplasts.

Guard cells respond to light and to intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $c_i$ ). Traditionally, the mechanisms for these two responses have been treated independently, but neither response is well understood. Recently, two hypotheses have been proposed by which  $c_i$  and light responses might be mechanistically linked through photosynthetic processes (Zeiger and Zhu, 1998; Buckley et al., 2003). Both of these hypotheses (discussed in more detail below) predict that the response of stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) to  $c_i$  is controlled by the balance between electron transport capacity and ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylation capacity. These hypotheses are, however, controversial, and the major goal of this study is to examine the role of photosynthetic processes in the response of  $g_s$  to  $c_i$ .

The stomatal response to light has at least two components; the so-called blue light (BL) and red light (RL) responses. The BL response saturates at fluences much lower than photosynthetic saturation (around 50  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; Zeiger, 2000). This response has been shown to involve the activation of a plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase (Kinoshita and Shimazaki, 1999), although the steps leading up to this activation are not clear. The receptor for this response has yet to be identified unequivocally, and there is evidence supporting both zeaxanthin (Zeiger and Zhu, 1998; Talbott et al., 2003) and phototropins (Kinoshita et al., 2001; Doi et al., 2004) for this role. The RL response is also poorly understood, but many data suggest the involvement of guard cell photosynthetic processes. Specifically, the RL response saturates at fluences similar to those for photosynthetic saturation. In addition, the action spectra for mesophyll photosynthesis and for the stomatal response to RL are similar to one another (Sharkey and Raschke, 1981a), and the RL response is abolished by 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU), a PSII inhibitor (Sharkey and Raschke, 1981b; Schwartz and Zeiger, 1984; Tominaga et al., 2001; Olsen et al., 2002). For these reasons, chlorophyll is commonly assumed to be the RL receptor (Assmann and Shimazaki, 1999; Zeiger et al., 2002). Despite the accumulation of evidence showing that guard cells respond directly to RL, this conclusion has recently been challenged based on electrophysiological measurements of the guard cell plasma membrane (Roelfsema et al., 2002).

Much less is known about the stomatal response to  $c_i$ . Malate production by phospho*enol*pyruvate carboxylase was once thought to play a role in this response (Raschke, 1975). However, increased  $c_i$  should enhance malate production, leading to an increase, not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (grant no. 0416600 to K.A.M.) and by the Cooperative Research Centre for Greenhouse Accounting at the Research School of Biological Sciences, Australian National University (T.N.B.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Present address: Biology Department, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322–5305.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author; e-mail kmott@biology.usu.edu; fax 435–797–1575.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantphysiol.org) is: Keith A. Mott (kmott@biology.usu.edu).

Article, publication date, and citation information can be found at www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.105.073676.

a decrease, in guard cell osmotic pressure and thus  $g_s$ . Therefore, if malate plays a role in the  $c_i$  response, it must not involve a direct effect on osmotic pressure, but rather an indirect function on guard cell osmoregulation. Along these lines, Hedrich et al. (1994) have proposed that malate plays a role in regulating R-type anion channels and they showed how this regulation could account for stomatal response to CO<sub>2</sub>. Another possibility is that the  $c_i$  response involves  $C_3$  photosynthetic processes in guard cells. It now seems clear that the Calvin cycle and photosynthetic electron transport are operational in guard cell chloroplasts (see Zeiger et al., 2002; Vavasseur and Raghavendra, 2005) and that these two processes can occur at similar relative rates in guard and mesophyll cells (Cardon and Berry, 1992; Lawson et al., 2002). These results form the basis for two hypotheses that link guard cell photosynthesis with the stomatal responses to light and  $c_i$ .

The first of these hypotheses (Zeiger et al., 2002; Outlaw, 2003) is an extension of the zeaxanthin hypothesis for the BL response (Zeiger and Zhu, 1998). Zeaxanthin functions in nonphotochemical quenching. As a result, increased dissipation of photosynthetic reducing power by the Calvin cycle—promoted by increased  $c_i$  at a given level of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR)-decreases zeaxanthin concentration (Zhu et al., 1998). Conversely, at a given  $c_i$ , zeaxanthin rises with PAR because greater photon supply demands more nonphotochemical quenching. According to the hypothesis, PAR- and  $c_i$ -induced variations in the concentration of zeaxanthin regulate stomatal aperture by modulating guard cell sensitivity to BL. The second hypothesis builds on the observation that photosynthetically derived ATP is shuttled from guard cell chloroplasts to the cytosol, where it is used to drive proton pumping and hence cation uptake at the plasmalemma (Tominaga et al., 2001). The abundance of ATP, like zeaxanthin, should increase with the thylakoid pH gradient and hence PAR, and should decrease with Calvin cycle activity and hence  $c_i$ (Buckley et al., 2003). It is worth noting the existence of a third hypothesis concerning guard cell photosynthesis and  $g_s$ . This hypothesis holds that the Calvin cycle generates Suc or other osmotica within guard cells, driving osmotic swelling and stomatal opening in RL, or more generally in PAR (Zeiger et al., 2002; Outlaw, 2003). However, in contrast to observations, this mechanism predicts greater stomatal opening under elevated  $c_i$ .

A key feature of the zeaxanthin and ATP hypotheses is that the  $c_i$  and RL responses depend on the balance between photosynthetic electron transport and Calvin cycle activity in guard cells. However, several recent studies have questioned the role for guard cell photosynthetic processes in stomatal control. For example, Roelfsema et al. (2002) concluded that the RL response results from reductions in  $c_i$  caused by mesophyll photosynthesis. In addition, studies on antisense plants with suppressed expression of Rubisco (von Caemmerer et al., 2004) and Rieske FeS protein (Price et al., 1998) have found little or no difference in stomatal function between wild-type and antisense plants, suggesting neither mesophyll nor guard cell photosynthesis plays a role in the  $c_i$  response. Finally, it has also been argued for many years that the existence of a CO<sub>2</sub> response in darkness and of functional non-chlorophyllous guard cells in some orchids (Nelson and Mayo, 1975) disproves any necessary role for photosynthesis.

In this study, we used several techniques to explore the roles of both mesophyll and guard cell photosynthetic electron transport and Calvin cycle activity in the response of  $g_s$  to  $c_i$ . We monitored spatial and temporal changes in photosynthetic capacity and  $g_s$ concurrently after treating a leaf with DCMU, a compound known to interrupt photosynthetic electron transport. We also compared the stomatal response to  $c_i$  between a leaf in the dark and a leaf treated with DCMU in the light. Finally, we examined the responses of  $g_s$  and photosynthesis to  $c_i$  using O<sub>2</sub> and light to alter the balance between electron transport and Calvin cycle activity.

### RESULTS

### Effects of DCMU on Photosynthesis and $g_s$

When 100  $\mu$ M DCMU was applied to a leaf via the transpiration stream, photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake declined gradually over several hours. This decline in photosynthetic rate was accompanied by a decline in  $g_{\rm s}$  and a slight decline in  $c_{\rm i}$  (Fig. 1). Fluorescence images (Fig. 2, top row) were taken concurrently with the gas-exchange measurements to assess the spatial distribution of photosynthesis in response to DCMU. These images show distinct areas with near-zero quantum efficiency for PSII ( $\phi_{PSII}$ ) spreading out from the veins. The proportion of the leaf with near-zero  $\phi_{
m PSII}$ was directly proportional to the percent reduction in photosynthesis as measured by gas exchange (Fig. 3). This supports the conclusion that the areas with nearzero  $\phi_{\text{PSII}}$  had near-zero photosynthesis. These results show that the gradual decline in photosynthesis observed in gas-exchange data was caused by an increase in the proportion of the leaf for which photosynthesis was severely inhibited, rather than a slow uniform decline in photosynthesis for the entire leaf. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results.

Thermal images were used to assess the spatial distribution of  $g_s$  in response to DCMU treatment. Leaf temperature, and hence infrared emission, should be higher in areas of lower conductance as a result of reduced evaporative heat loss. These images (Fig. 2, bottom row) show areas of high temperature spreading out from the veins in a very similar pattern to that observed in the fluorescence images. The area of the leaf with higher than average temperatures was proportional to the percent reduction in  $g_s$  (Fig. 3), and the pixel intensity of the bright areas did not increase appreciably as the  $g_s$  of the entire leaf approached zero.



**Figure 1.** Responses of  $c_i$ , A, and  $g_s$  to DCMU (100  $\mu$ M) fed through the transpiration stream of a detached leaf. DCMU was applied at time zero. Other conditions were as described in "Materials and Methods."

These results show that, similar to photosynthesis, the gradual decline in  $g_s$  observed with gas exchange was caused by an increase in the proportion of the leaf with near-zero conductance rather than by a slow uniform decrease in conductance. Furthermore, the spatial pattern of photosynthesis inhibition was very similar to the pattern for conductance inhibition.

# The Responses of Assimilation Rate and $g_s$ to $c_i$ Change Slope Concurrently

The relationship between  $g_s$  and electron transport was further explored by examining the responses of  $g_s$ and photosynthesis to CO2. These experiments were carried out at 21% and 2%  $\tilde{O}_2$  using a high photon flux density (PFD; 1,000  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and a low PFD (300  $\mu$ E  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ ), yielding four separate treatments. In all four treatments, conductance declined steeply with increasing  $CO_2$  at high values of  $c_i$  (Fig. 4). However, in the two high-PFD treatments, the response of conductance to  $CO_2$  was less steep at low  $c_i$  than at high  $c_i$  and, in some cases, the slope was actually positive at low  $c_i$ . This was not true for the low-PFD treatments. Furthermore, the transition from a shallow slope at low  $c_i$ to a steep slope at high  $c_i$  under high PFD occurred at approximately the same  $c_i$  values as the transition from Rubisco-limited to electron transport-limited mesophyll photosynthesis, as determined by fitting the photosynthesis model of Farquhar et al. (1980) to the assimilation rate (A) versus  $c_i$  curves (Table I). At low

PFD, CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation was limited by electron transport at all but the lowest  $c_i$  value, and  $g_s$  declined more or less steadily with increasing  $c_i$ . Although there were differences in  $g_s$  between the upper and lower surfaces, the stomatal responses of the two surfaces to all treatments were qualitatively similar.

The change in the slope of the *A* versus  $c_i$  curve associated with the transition between Rubisco and electron transport limitation was larger at 2% O<sub>2</sub> than at 21% O<sub>2</sub>, which makes it easier to identify unambiguously the transition point between Rubisco and electron transport limitation. It is noteworthy that the slope change in the  $g_s$  versus  $c_i$  curve was also more pronounced at 2% O<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 4A) and conductance actually increased slightly as the  $c_i$  values approached the transition point from below.

# Photosynthetic Electron Transport Alters the Stomatal Response to $c_i$

Finally, we investigated the response of  $g_s$  to CO<sub>2</sub> in darkness and following the application of DCMU. Both treatments should reduce photosynthetic electron transport to near zero and CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rates were negative for both treatments at all  $c_i$  (data not shown). The response of  $g_s$  to CO<sub>2</sub> was essentially identical for leaves in darkness (Fig. 5A) and DCMU-treated leaves (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, there was no effect of O<sub>2</sub> on the response of conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> in darkness (Fig. 5C) or in DCMU (Fig. 5D).

To demonstrate that the difference in  $g_s$  in light and darkness was not the result of lowered  $c_i$ , as suggested by Roelfsema et al. (2002), we examined the response of photosynthesis and  $g_s$  to wavelengths greater than 500 nm while holding  $c_i$  constant by adjusting ambient CO<sub>2</sub> mole fraction. Both *A* and  $g_s$  increased approximately linearly with increasing PFD in the steady state despite a constant value of  $c_i$  (Fig. 6). Results with only wavelengths greater than 600 nm were similar to those shown in Figure 6.



**Figure 2.** Fluorescence and thermal images at three times following DCMU application. Images we taken of the leaf for which data are shown in Figure 1.



**Figure 3.** *A* and  $g_s$  plotted versus the percent of image with near-zero  $\phi_{PSII}$  and percent of image with temperatures above approximately 27°C. Data were calculated at 30-min intervals as DCMU was added to the leaf via the transpiration stream.

#### DISCUSSION

Many previous studies have examined the role of photosynthesis in guard cell processes. However, most of these have centered on establishing the presence of photosynthetic  $CO_2$  fixation in guard cells (Gotow et al., 1988) or the involvement of guard cell photosynthesis in providing Suc as an osmoticum (Talbott and Zeiger, 1998). In this study, we used several approaches to investigate the role of photosynthetic processes in determining the response of  $g_s$  to  $CO_2$ .

Our first approach was simply to compare stomatal responses to  $c_i$  with photosynthetic responses to  $c_i$  at two PFD values and two O<sub>2</sub> concentrations. These data (Fig. 4) show that the stomatal response to  $c_i$  in cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*) changes slope at the same c<sub>i</sub> value as the transition from Rubisco to electron transport limitation in photosynthesis. Specifically, the stomatal response is steeper at  $c_i$  values above the transition (i.e. when mesophyll photosynthesis is limited by the supply of ATP and NADPH). The relationship holds true as the transition  $c_i$  changes with PFD, and it is clearer at  $2\% O_2$  than at  $21\% O_2$  because the transition between the Rubisco- and electron transportlimited portions of the curve is more distinct at 2% O<sub>2</sub>. It is noteworthy in this regard that both the  $g_s$  versus  $c_i$ curve and the *A* versus  $c_i$  curve show a larger change in slope at  $2\% O_2$  than at  $21\% O_2$ , making the changes in slopes less ambiguous. Collectively, these data strongly suggest that the stomatal response to  $c_i$  is somehow influenced by the balance between the light reactions and the carbon reactions in photosynthesis.

The data do not, however, indicate how or where this balance might be sensed. The photosynthesis model of Farquhar et al. (1980), as extended by Farquhar and Wong (1984), predicts that mesophyll chloroplastic [ATP] should decline as  $c_i$  increases, with a steeper slope at high  $c_i$ —as reported here for the response of  $g_s$  to  $c_i$ —so variations in ATP associated with guard cell photosynthesis are one possible sen-

774

sory mechanism (Buckley et al., 2003). Zeaxanthin, one of the putative BL receptors in guard cells is another possibility. The equilibrium for interconversion of violaxanthin and zeaxanthin depends on thylakoid pH, which in turn depends on the balance between the light reactions and the carbon reactions in photosynthesis (Zeiger et al., 2002). Our data do not appear to rule out guard cell chloroplastic zeaxanthin, ATP, or any other photosynthetic intermediary, nor do they indicate clearly that the putative sensor is located in mesophyll or guard cells.

If the response of  $g_s$  to  $c_i$  is affected by the balance between electron transport and Rubisco limitations to photosynthesis, then the cessation of electron transport should markedly change the response of stomata



**Figure 4.** Responses of *A* and  $g_s$  to  $c_i$  at 2% (a) and 21% (b)  $O_2$ . Lines drawn through the *A* data are best fits to the photosynthesis model of Farquhar et al. (1980) as described in "Materials and Methods." Lines drawn through the  $g_s$  data are hand-drawn approximations. Different symbols represent different replicate experiments. Parameters for the fitted *A* versus  $c_i$  curves are given in Table I.

Table I. Parameters of the photosynthesis model of Farquhar et al. (1980) fitted to the relationships shown in Figure 4 between net $CO_2$ A and $c_i$
Values of the parameters $\Gamma_*$ and K' (photorespiratory compensation point and effective $K_m$ for Rubisco, respectively) were calculated from
expressions given by Farquhar et al. (1980) using values of Rubisco $K_{\rm m}$ and turnover rates for carboxylation and oxygenation given by de Pury and
Farguhar (1997) for 25°C.

Parameter	Symbol	Units	PFD/[O <sub>2</sub> ]				
			300/2	1,000/2	300/21	1,000/21	
			$\mu E m^{-2} s^{-1} / \%$				
Maximum velocity of carboxylation	$V_{\rm c.max}$	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	$68 \pm 6$	$90 \pm 6$	$105 \pm 38$	$109 \pm 6$	
Potential electron transport rate	Ĵ	$\mu$ mol $e^-$ m $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$	$40 \pm 1$	84 ± 3	39 ± 7	$105 \pm 5$	
Mitochondrial respiration rate	$R_{\rm d}$	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$1.0 \pm 0.4$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	
Intercellular CO <sub>2</sub> mole fraction at transition point	C <sub>i</sub>	$\mu$ mol mol <sup>-1</sup>	79 ± 10	145 ± 20	35.9	181 ± 33	
Photorespiratory compensation point	$\Gamma_*$	$\mu$ mol mol <sup>-1</sup>	3.4		35.9		
Effective $K_{\rm m}$ for carboxylation	K'	$\mu$ mol mol <sup>-1</sup>	502.3		81	811.9	

to  $c_i$ . We tested this idea by inhibiting electron transport with DCMU. Using high  $c_i$  to ensure that photosynthesis was electron transport limited, we found that photosynthesis and  $g_s$  declined in parallel over time as DCMU spread throughout the leaf. This confirms the earlier finding of Wong et al. (1979). It is possible that this parallel decline was caused by a slow uniform decrease in photosynthesis and  $g_s$  over the entire leaf. However, previous studies using chlorophyll fluorescence imaging have shown that DCMU inhibition of photosynthesis spreads slowly from the veins into the mesophyll, with affected areas retaining high photosynthesis rates (Daley et al., 1989; Genty and Meyer, 1994).

To resolve this issue, we mapped both electron transport and  $g_s$  by imaging chlorophyll fluorescence and thermal emission simultaneously. Fluorescence images confirmed previous studies showing that areas in which photosynthetic electron transport was completely inhibited spread slowly from the veins. More importantly, thermal images clearly show that  $g_s$  had also dropped to nearly zero in areas for which electron transport was reduced to zero (see "Results"). Thus, as DCMU spread throughout the leaf, it inhibited electron transport and caused  $g_s$  to decline to zero. These two effects were simultaneous within the spatiotemporal resolution of the images. It is unlikely that the decline in conductance was caused by an increase in  $c_i$ resulting from the decline in mesophyll photosynthesis, because the average  $c_i$  for the leaf changed only slightly as both A and  $g_s$  declined by more than 50% (if mesophyll photosynthesis had declined before  $g_s$  in leaf regions affected by DCMU, the ratio of A to  $g_s$ would have declined in those regions, causing the whole-leaf estimate of  $c_i$  to increase).

DCMU was fed to leaves until the photosynthesis rate was constant and negative. At that point, we assumed that electron transport was uniformly inhibited throughout the leaf. Fluorescence images confirmed this (data not shown). Under these conditions, stomata retained a small, but measurable, response to  $c_i$  (Fig. 5B). However, several lines of

reasoning suggest that this represents a separate response of stomata to  $CO_2$  that is independent, and perhaps complementary, to the response that occurs in the presence of photosynthetic electron transport. First, the response in the presence of DCMU was not altered by the presence or absence of light and it was similar to the responses that occur in darkness and in the absence of DCMU. All responses in the absence of photosynthetic electron transport (either in darkness or after DCMU treatment) were unaffected by oxygen concentration and were qualitatively and quantitatively different from the stomatal response in the presence of photosynthetic electron transport. Thus, our data suggest that there are two mechanisms by which stomata respond to  $CO_3$ : one



**Figure 5.** Responses of  $g_s$  to  $c_i$  at 21% oxygen in darkness (a) and for a DCMU-treated leaf at a PFD of 1,000  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> white light (b); and at 2% oxygen in darkness (c) and for a DCMU-treated leaf at a PFD of 1,000  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> white light (d). Different symbols represent different replicate experiments.



**Figure 6.** Responses of *A* (a) and  $g_s$  (b; solid lines), and  $c_i$  (dashed lines) to PFD with wavelengths above 500 nm. Ambient CO<sub>2</sub> was manipulated to keep  $c_i$  constant. Different symbols represent different replicates.

that depends on photosynthetic electron transport and one that does not. The idea of multiple  $CO_2$  response mechanisms has been suggested before (Assmann, 1999) and it explains numerous previous reports that stomata can respond to  $c_i$  in the dark (Heath and Russell, 1954; Dale, 1961; Mansfield and Heath, 1961).

Although DCMU is well known to inhibit photosynthetic electron transport, its effects on  $g_s$  have not been studied in detail. It has been shown to inhibit sugar production in guard cells (Poffenroth et al., 1992) and to inhibit opening under RL in epidermal peels (Schwartz and Zeiger, 1984; Olsen et al., 2002). More recently, it has been shown to inhibit the effect of RL on H<sup>+</sup> pumping at the plasma membrane of guard cells of Vicia faba and Commelina benghalensis (Tominaga et al., 2001). These results suggest that DCMU should cause stomata to close in intact leaves and are therefore consistent with our data. Our results appear contradictory to those of Sharkey and Raschke (1981b), who found little effect of DCMU and cyanazine (a herbicide that blocks photosynthetic electron transport at PSII) on  $g_s$  in cocklebur. However, in *Gossypium hirsutum*, Sharkey and Raschke (1981b) found a reduction in  $g_s$ and a change in the response of conductance to  $c_i$  in response to cyanazine, and these effects were qualitatively similar to our results (compare their Fig. 1 with our Figs. 4 and 5B). Part of the discrepancy between their results and ours may be because they fed the photosynthetic inhibitor only until whole-leaf photosynthesis reached the compensation point, which would suggest that at least some areas of the leaf were still photosynthesizing. In our study, CO<sub>2</sub> uptake was negative and constant at all  $c_i$  values, indicating that photosynthetic electron transport was completely blocked in all areas of the leaf.

There are numerous studies showing that guard cells respond to RL directly (Heath and Russell, 1954; Sharkey and Raschke, 1981a; Zeiger, 1990). However, this finding has recently been called into question by Roelfsema et al. (2002), who concluded that the RL response of stomata in intact leaves was strictly indirect and was mediated by a reduction in  $c_i$  caused by mesophyll photosynthesis. It is critical to the central arguments of this study that guard cells respond directly to PAR independent of the BL response and independent of mesophyll-induced changes in  $c_i$ . We verified this by examining the  $g_s$  response to RL while holding  $c_i$  constant by adjusting ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Our results show that, in our leaves, the major RL response was not caused by mesophyll-induced reductions in  $c_i$  (Fig. 6), but instead either by a  $c_i$ -independent signal from the mesophyll (Lee and Bowling, 1995) or by processes located within guard cells.

It is unclear from our data whether guard cells are responding directly to electron transport in the guard cells or indirectly to mesophyll electron transport through an unknown signaling mechanism. If the former, our data suggest that the balance between electron transport and Rubisco capacities is similar for guard cells and mesophyll cells. This is supported by data showing that fluorescence responses to  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  are similar in guard and mesophyll cells (Cardon and Berry, 1992; Lawson et al., 2002, 2003).

The suggestion that a large part of the stomatal response to  $c_i$  is closely linked to photosynthetic processes within guard cells contrasts with the findings of several studies on antisense plants with impaired photosynthetic functioning, which have generally found little difference in  $g_s$  or its response to  $c_i$  between normal and antisense plants. Price et al. (1998) found similar  $g_s$ s in wild-type plants and antisense plants with up to a 90% reduction in Rieske FeS protein (a component of the chloroplast cytochrome b<sub>6</sub>f complex). Since these plants presumably had reduced electron transport relative to Rubisco capacity, they should have shown reduced  $g_s$  to be consistent with our results. However, although fluorescence data suggest guard and mesophyll cells possess similar photosynthetic competency on a chlorophyll basis (Lawson et al., 2002), guard cells have much less chlorophyll per cell and hence lower content and activity of photosynthetic components than mesophyll cells on a per-cell basis. As a result, it is possible that systemic reductions

in the expression of Rieske FeS protein may have little or no effect in guard cells except at very low expression levels. In any event, the C<sub>3</sub>-type fluorescence responses of guard cells in the C<sub>4</sub> species *Amaranthus caudatus* (Lawson et al., 2003) suggest that photosynthetic coordination may be regulated by entirely different means in guard and mesophyll cells.

Von Caemmerer et al. (2004) likewise found no effect of reduced Rubisco content on either  $g_s$  or its response to  $c_i$ . Rubisco antisense plants possess increased electron transport capacity relative to Rubisco capacity, which should increase both  $g_s$  and the value of  $c_i$  at which the transition from Rubisco to electron transport occurs. However, our data suggest that these effects will be subtle and limited to conductances at low values of  $c_i$ . Since the experiments by von Caemmerer et al. (2004) covered a wide range of  $c_i$  values and included relatively few points at low  $c_i$ , it is unclear whether their data show a transition point effect similar to that reported in this study.

#### CONCLUSION

This study has shown that the response of steadystate  $g_s$  to  $c_i$  in intact leaves of cocklebur is qualitatively different when photosynthetic electron transport is eliminated, either by removal of light or by addition of DCMU, a PSII inhibitor. In the presence of photosynthetic electron transport, the response changes slope markedly at values of  $c_i$  very close to the transition of whole-leaf photosynthesis from Rubisco limitation to photosynthetic electron transport limitation: The response is shallow (small slope) at low  $c_i$  and steeper at high  $c_i$ . In contrast, the response in darkness or under DCMU is relatively small and does not show a distinct change in slope.

These data suggest there are at least two mechanisms by which stomata respond to  $CO_2$ . One of these depends on photosynthetic electron transport and is therefore sensitive to the balance between the light and dark reactions of photosynthesis; the other is independent of photosynthetic electron transport and is therefore present in darkness. Both mechanisms may contribute to normal stomatal responses to  $CO_2$  in the light.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*) plants were grown in a controlledenvironment greenhouse as described previously (West et al., 2005). Gasexchange measurements were made using a standard, single-pass gas-exchange system that has also been described previously (West et al., 2005). For all experiments, leaf temperature was maintained at  $25^{\circ}C \pm 0.2^{\circ}C$  by changing air temperature, and the water vapor mole fraction gradient between the leaf and air was maintained at 15 mmol mol<sup>-1</sup> by changing the mole fraction of water in the chamber air.

To examine the effects of DCMU on photosynthesis and  $g_{s'}$  a leaf was detached under water and the petiole was placed in distilled, degassed water. The leaf was brought to steady state in a clamp-on gas-exchange chamber that enclosed a circular area of leaf (diameter = 2.54 cm). PFD was maintained at 1,000  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, O<sub>2</sub> concentration at 21%, and ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at

600  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> to ensure that photosynthesis was electron transport limited. At time zero, the petiole was removed from the water and quickly placed in 100  $\mu$ M DCMU (or in water for control experiments). Gas-exchange data were recorded every 10 s, and fluorescence and thermal images were captured every 3 min. The details of the methods for fluorescence and thermal images have been described previously (West et al., 2005).

The responses of  $g_s$  and A to  $c_i$  were determined by placing a leaf (attached to the plant) in a clamp-on chamber that enclosed a square area of the leaf (2.54 × 2.54 cm). The leaf was brought to steady state at an ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 360  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>, O<sub>2</sub> concentration of either 2% or 21%, and a PFD of either 1,000 or 300  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. PFD was gradually increased to these levels over a period of several hours to avoid damaging the leaf. After gas exchange reached steady state (usually within 2–3 h),  $c_i$  was manipulated by varying ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

To determine the response of  $g_s$  to  $c_i$  in darkness, a detached leaf was enclosed in the square gas-exchange chamber and allowed to reach steady state at 2% or 21% O<sub>2</sub> at the lowest CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. After steady state was achieved, ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was increased in steps, allowing the leaf to reach steady state at each concentration. DCMU experiments were done as above, except that 100  $\mu$ M DCMU were fed to the leaf the day before the experiment.

A long-pass filter with a cutoff at 500 nm was used to investigate the response of stomata to RL. An attached leaf was allowed to reach steady state in the square chamber at a PFD of 800  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> of RL, 21% O<sub>2</sub>, and 360  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. The PFD was then lowered in steps, allowing the leaf to reach steady state at each value. As  $g_s$  and photosynthesis changed in response to the new PFD value, ambient CO<sub>2</sub> was adjusted to maintain  $c_i$  constant at the value observed at the highest PFD.

The A versus  $c_{\rm i}$  response curves obtained from the light and oxygen experiments were analyzed to estimate the transition point between Rubisco-limited and electron transport-limited photosynthesis. The datapoints on the A versus  $c_{\rm i}$  response curves were identified as electron transport limited or Rubisco limited by fitting them with the biochemical photosynthesis model of Farquhar et al. (1980). In that model, the shape of the A versus  $c_{\rm i}$  response curve and, consequently, the transition point, depends sensitively on the values of two parameters—the maximum carboxylation rate of Rubisco,  $V_{c,\max}$ , and the maximum potential electron transport rate,  $J_{\max}$ . While the other model parameters can be decided a priori, the values of  $V_{c,\max}$  and  $J_{\max}$  must be estimated from the A versus  $c_{\rm i}$  response curve. For each dataset, the values of  $V_{c,\max}$  and  $J_{\max}$  were estimated by minimizing the sum of square everaged to estimate the transition point.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Rand Hooper for excellent technical assistance and Steve Long for helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

Received November 1, 2005; revised November 18, 2005; accepted November 20, 2005; published January 11, 2006.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Assmann SM (1999) The cellular basis of guard cell sensing of rising CO<sub>2</sub>. Plant Cell Environ 22: 629–637
- Assmann SM, Shimazaki K (1999) The multisensory guard cell: stomatal responses to blue light and abscisic acid. Plant Physiol 119: 809–815
- Buckley TN, Mott KA, Farquhar GD (2003) A hydromechanical and biochemical model of stomatal conductance. Plant Cell Environ 26: 1767–1786
- **Cardon ZG, Berry JA** (1992) Responses of photosynthetic electron transport in stomatal guard cells and mesophyll cells in intact leaves to light, CO<sub>2</sub>, and humidity. Plant Physiol **128**: 52–62
- Dale JE (1961) Investigations into the stomatal physiology of upland cotton. I. The effect of hour of day, solar radiation, temperature and leaf matter content on stomatal behavior. Ann Bot (Lond) 25: 39–52
- Daley PF, Raschke K, Ball JT, Berry JA (1989) Topography of photosynthetic activity of leaves obtained from video images of chlorophyll fluorescence. Plant Physiol 90: 1233–1238
- de Pury DGG, Farquhar GD (1997) Simple scaling of photosynthesis from

leaves to canopies without the errors of big-leaf models. Plant Cell Environ 20: 537-557

- Doi M, Shigenaga A, Emi T, Kinoshita T, Shimazaki K (2004) A transgene encoding a blue-light receptor, phot1, restores blue-light responses in the *Arabidopsis phot1 phot2* double mutant. J Exp Bot 55: 517–523
- Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C3 species. Planta 149: 78–90
- Farquhar GD, Wong SC (1984) An empirical model of stomatal conductance. Aust J Plant Physiol 11: 191–209

Genty B, Meyer S (1994) Quantitative mapping of leaf photosynthesis using chlorophyll fluorescence imaging. Aust J Plant Physical 22: 277–284

- Gotow K, Taylor S, Zeiger E (1988) Photosynthetic carbon fixation in guard cell protoplasts of *Vicia faba*: evidence from radiolabel experiments. Plant Physiol 86: 700–705
- Heath OVS, Russell J (1954) Studies in stomatal behaviour. VI. An investigation of the light responses of wheat stomata with the attempted elimination of control by the mesophyll. Part I. Effects of light independent of carbon dioxide. J Exp Bot 5: 1–15
- Hedrich R, Marten I, Lohse G, Dietrich P, Winter H, Lohous G, Heldt H-W (1994) Malate-sensitive anion channels enable guard cells to sense changes in the ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Plant J **6**: 741–748
- Kinoshita T, Doi M, Suetsugu N, Kagawa T, Wada M, Shimazaki K (2001) phot1 and phot2 mediate blue light regulation of stomatal opening. Nature 414: 656–660
- Kinoshita T, Shimazaki K (1999) Blue light activates the plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase by phosphorylation of the C-terminus in stomatal guard cells. EMBO J 18: 5548–5558
- Lawson T, Oxborough K, Morison JIL, Baker NR (2002) Responses of photosynthetic electron transport in stomatal guard cells and mesophyll cells in intact leaves to light, CO<sub>2</sub>, and humidity. Plant Physiol **128**: 52–62
- Lawson T, Oxborough K, Morison JIL, Baker NR (2003) The responses of guard and mesophyll cell photosynthesis to CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, light and water stress in a range of species are similar. J Exp Bot 54: 1743–1752
- Lee J-S, Bowling DJF (1995) Influence of the mesophyll on stomatal opening. Aust J Plant Physiol 22: 357–363
- Mansfield TA, Heath OVS (1961) Photoperiodic effects on stomatal behavior in Xanthium pennsylvanicum. Plant Physiol 69: 273–277
- Nelson SD, Mayo JM (1975) The occurrence of functional nonchlorophyllous guard cells in *Paphiopedilum* spp. Can J Bot 53: 1–7
- Olsen RL, Pratt RB, Gump P, Kemper A, Tallman G (2002) Red light activates a chloroplast-dependent ion uptake mechanism for stomatal opening under reduced CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in *Vicia* spp. New Phytol **153**: 497–508
- Outlaw WH (2003) Integration of cellular and physiological function of guard cells. CRC Crit Rev Plant Sci 22: 503–529
- Poffenroth M, Green DB, Tallman G (1992) Sugar concentrations in guard cells of *Vicia faba* illuminated with red or blue light—analysis by high performance liquid chromatography. Plant Physiol 98: 1460–1471

Price GD, von Caemmerer S, Evans JR, Siebke K, Anderson JM, Badger MR (1998) Photosynthesis is strongly reduced by antisense suppression of chloroplastic cytochrome bf complex in transgenic tobacco. Aust J Plant Physiol 25: 445–452

Raschke K (1975) Stomatal action. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 26: 309-340

Roelfsema MRG, Hanstein S, Felle H, Hedrich R (2002) CO<sub>2</sub> provides an intermediate link in the red light response of guard cells. Plant J 32: 65–75

- Schwartz A, Zeiger E (1984) Metabolic energy for stomatal opening: role of photophosphorylation and oxidative phosphorylation. Planta 161: 129–136
- Sharkey TD, Raschke K (1981a) Effect of light quality on stomatal opening in leaves of *Xanthium strumarium* L. Plant Physiol 68: 1170–1174
- Sharkey TD, Raschke K (1981b) Separation and measurement of direct and indirect effects of light on stomata. Plant Physiol 68: 33–40
- Talbott LD, Shmayevich IJ, Chung Y, Hammad JW, Zeiger E (2003) Blue light and phytochrome-mediated stomatal opening in the *npq1* and *phot1 phot2* mutants of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **133**: 1522–1529
- Talbott LD, Zeiger E (1998) The role of sucrose in guard cell osmoregulation. J Exp Bot 49: 329-337
- Tominaga M, Kinoshita T, Shimazaki K-i (2001) Guard-cell chloroplasts provide ATP required for H<sup>+</sup> pumping in the plasma membrane and stomatal opening. Plant Cell Physiol 42: 795–802
- Vavasseur A, Raghavendra AS (2005) Guard cell metabolism and CO<sub>2</sub> sensing. New Phytol 165: 665–682
- von Caemmerer S, Lawson T, Oxborough K, Baker NR, Andrews TJ, Raines CA (2004) Stomatal conductance does not correlate with photosynthetic capacity in transgenic tobacco with reduced amounts of Rubisco. J Exp Bot 55: 1157–1166
- West JD, Peak D, Peterson J, Mott KA (2005) Dynamics of stomatal patches for a single surface of Xanthium strumarium L. leaves observed with fluorescence and thermal images. Plant Cell Environ 28: 633–641
- Wong SC, Cowan IR, Farquhar GD (1979) Stomatal conductance correlates with photosynthetic capacity. Nature 282: 424–426
- Zeiger E (1990) Light perception in guard cells. Plant Cell Environ 13: 739–747
- Zeiger E (2000) Sensory transduction of blue light in guard cells. Trends Plant Sci 5: 183–185
- Zeiger E, Talbott LD, Frechilla S, Srivastava A, Zhu J (2002) The guard cell chloroplast: a perspective for the twenty-first century. New Phytol **153**: 415–424
- Zeiger E, Zhu JX (1998) Role of zeaxanthin in blue light photoreception and the modulation of light-CO<sub>2</sub> interactions in guard cells. J Exp Bot 49: 433–442
- Zhu J, Talbott LD, Jin X, Zeiger E (1998) The stomatal response to CO<sub>2</sub> is linked to changes in guard cell zeaxanthin. Plant Cell Environ 21: 813–820